

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/841,541	04/24/2001	Hassan Hagirahim	11-8	5902
46290 7590 05/04/2007 WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON			EXAMINER	
10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100		DUONG, DUC T		
HOUSTON, TX 77042		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616	
				•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2007	DARER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ب	K

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/841,541	HAGIRAHIM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Duc T. Duong	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where the total reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Fe	bruary 2006.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-23</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) 11 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers	. •	,			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 April 2001</u> is/are: a)[by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c		•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<u> </u>		.,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign an All b Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
·— ·— ·—	have been received	,			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori					
application from the International Bureau		d in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	` ''	d.			
	,				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung (US Patent 6,963,918 B1) in view of Igarashi et al (US Publishing 2001/053694 A1).

Regarding to claim 11, Leung discloses a method of transmitting a packet in a wireless network (fig. 2), the method comprising receiving the packet from a mobile station 210 at a node 204 for routing the received packet to a service provider server 226 (col. 5 lines 2-8); determining a care of address for at least one of a user and a session associated with the packet (col. 5 lines 28-32); and selectively causing the node to assume a role of a home network for the mobile station based on the care-of-address (col. 5 lines 32-35; based on the care of address, the packet is forward to the FA 204 rather than the HA 224 and thus the FA 204 act as the home agent).

Leung fails to teach determining a predetermined policy for at least one of a user and a session associated with the packet to selectively use default information for obtaining a transmission route of the received packet to the service provider server.

However, Igarashi discloses a network system that provides each terminal user with differentiated service, comprising determining a service profile (predetermined

policy) for a user and a session associated with the packet to obtain a transmission route using the packet header (fig. 20 page 7 paragraphs 0120-0122).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ such service profile as taught by Igarashi in Leung's system to determines the optimal route of the packet.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed February 1, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument on page 9-10, Igarashi fails to teach for "selectively use default information for obtaining a transmission route of the received packet to the service provider server". In response, the examiner would like to point out as cited in the applicant's Remark section page 10, Igarashi indeed teach of using the packet header for obtaining a transmission route. In addition, the limitation "default information" has no distinct bound or value. As a result, the broadest interpretation is given here, which the examiner equated "the packet header" to the "default information" of the claim. Thus, based on the reasons set forth here the rejection is maintained.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-10 and 12-23 are allowed.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/841,541

Art Unit: 2616

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc T. Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-3122. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00 AM-6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D. Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Art Unit: 2616

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DD

HUY D. VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600